

Preferred Options Response Form

2012

For Official Use Only

Ref: 7045

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	GAENOR	
Last Name	CLARKE	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?

e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

SUMMARY BOOKLET

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

DEVELOPMENT SITES

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

MAP PAGE 748

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

SITE 9 LOES FARM

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

I am objecting against the proposed development plans as clearly detailed on the attached sheets.

In addition to these concerns I would like to add that as a home owner in this sought after area of Warwick this proposal would result in a dramatic fall in my properties unique selling point of beautiful views of green belt land. thus a decrease in value.

My suggested brownfield sites which would be far better suited for development are:

- 1) OLD RIDGEWAY SCHOOL SITE
 - 2) OLD WARWICK PRINTING SITE
- } BOTH OF WHICH HAVE AMPLE SPACE FOR DEVELOPMENT

Why leave these sites undeveloped and ruin our precious greenbelt land which is sadly diminishing.

The strain on the local emergency services should also be given close consideration against the high number of households being proposed on this new development.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which document are you responding to?

e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites – Whole District)

09 LOES FARM .

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The plans will not help to develop the district it will destroy what makes this district special to everyone of it's existing residents and visitors to the area.

It would be devastating to think that any decisions on this were decided in haste and repented in leisure as once this development was to go ahead our green belt land would be lost forever.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Guidance on Making Representations

- Please use the attached response form as it will help the Council to keep accurate and consistent records of all the comments on the Plan, alternatively complete online at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan
- If you wish to make comments on more than one aspect of the Plan, please use a separate copy of Part B of this form for each
- You may withdraw your objection at any time by writing to Warwick District Council, address below
- It is important that you include your name and address as anonymous forms cannot be accepted. If your address details change, please inform us in writing.
- All forms should be received by **4.45pm on Friday 27th July 2012.**
- Copies of all the objections and supporting representations will be made available for others to see at the Council's offices at Riverside House and online via the Council's e-consultation system. Please note that all comments on the Local Plan are in the public domain and the Council cannot accept confidential objections. The information will be held on a database and used to assist with the preparation of the new Local Plan and with consideration of planning applications in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.
- To return this form please drop off at one of the locations below, or post to: **Development Policy Manager, Development Services, Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Leamington Spa, CV32 5QH** or email: newlocalplan@warwickdc.gov.uk

Where to See Copies of the Plan

Copies of the Plan are available for inspection on the Council's web site at www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan and at the following locations.

Location	Opening Times	
Warwick District Council Offices Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Thurs Fri	8.45am – 5.15pm 8.45am – 4.45pm
Leamington Town Hall Parade, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Thurs Fri	8.45am – 5.15pm 8.45am – 4.45pm
Warwickshire Direct Whitnash Whitnash Library, Franklin Road, Whitnash	Mon – Weds Thurs Fri Sat	10.30am – 5.00pm Closed 10.30am – 4.00pm 10.30am – 1.30pm
Leamington Spa Library The Pump Rooms, Parade, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon – Weds Thurs Fri Sat Sun	9.30am – 6.00pm 10.00am – 7.00pm 9.30am – 6.00pm 9.30am – 4.30pm 12.00am – 4.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Warwick Shire Hall, Market Square, Warwick	Mon to Thurs Fri Sat	8.00am – 5.30pm 8.00am – 5.00pm 9.00am – 4.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Kenilworth Kenilworth Library, Smalley Place, Kenilworth	Mon and Tues Wed Thurs and Fri Sat	9.00am – 5.30pm 10.30am – 5.30pm 9.00am – 5.30pm 9.00am – 1.00pm
Warwickshire Direct Lillington Lillington Library, Valley Road, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon Tues and Fri Weds Thurs Sat	9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 6.00pm 9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 5.30pm Closed 9.30am – 12.30pm & 1.30pm – 7.00pm 9.30am – 12.30pm
Brunswick Healthy Living Centre 98-100 Shrubland Street, Royal Leamington Spa	Mon - Thurs Fri	9.00am – 5.00pm 9.00am – 4.30pm
Finham Community Library Finham Green Rd, Finham, Coventry, CV3 6EP	Mon Tues, Thurs and Fri Sat	1.00pm – 7.00pm 9.00am – 7.00pm 9.00am – 4.00pm

Warwick District Council strives to ensure that all people regardless of ethnic origin or disability can access its services. Where possible, information can be made available in other formats, including large print, cassette tape, CD & other languages if required. Telephone 01926 450000.

I hereby oppose the development of Loes Farm.

I fully appreciate that the need for additional housing in the local areas but strongly believe that many other sites, including the still uncompleted Chase Meadow, for example, offer potential for development without the loss of green fields.

I, along with friends & family on the Woodloes take every advantage of enjoying green field sites on our door steps and in particular take regular exercise by walking up Woodloes Lane and up to Leek Wootton.

This development would in my opinion also have a disappointing effect on tourists visiting historic Warwick whereby now if approaching from the Coventry Road visitors to the town are greeted with welcoming rolling fields and a historic landscape.

This development proposal is going to result in visitors arriving into Warwick town being disappointed that it looks built up and devoid of any of it's existing character and ambiance

I enjoy the most beautiful views from my bedroom and lounge window and hold the precious greenbelt areas we have in our local area in high esteem. There are so very few areas that are no longer built up, and it is devastating to think that the view of open fields and roaming livestock in our area are going to be depleted to such a high degree and become a thing of the past.

With huge employers such as the Arqiva (WTP) closing offices, thus making many people redundant, people are questioning where the jobs for all these new residents will come from, who themselves, may already be out of work before moving to the area?

If Warwick cannot provide the amount of jobs needed to match the scale of the development then people will have to look to other cities for jobs such as Leamington, Coventry, Stratford or further afield to Daventry and Rugby as examples.

This would mean that all of these people would be commuting everyday, contributing to global warming; this in my view does not comply with WDC wish for the development to be sustainable.

This will also increase the congestion within an already very difficult town to travel round at rush hour, making Warwick more crowded, and it is already a very crowded town, some question whether it will be able to cope with the increased amount of traffic.

One could then argue that people can use public transport to get to and from work, however, it will be a huge cost to WDC to provide the infrastructure needed for all of these people.

Although I live on a main road the current volume of traffic and noise level is acceptable. I have major concerns on how this volume would increase enormously should the development plans go ahead.

Where will the exit / entrance routes to this proposed development be? Am I to relent to opening my curtains to a view of a housing development and be awoken with the addition noise of up to an approx 320 extra vehicles travelling down Primrose Hill each day?

This will obviously be after the intrusion of developer's heavy machinery and workforce polluting the environment whilst this development is being built with absolute no regard, consideration, or compensation for the existing residents' in the area.

It is also obvious there would be an increase to traffic onto the A46 increasing pollution in North Warwick. I also have major concerns over the impact this large number of additional residents will have on Warwick Town Centre's already overloaded parking and road infrastructure.

I would like to voice my concerns and question how you plan to conserve the wildlife because these plans will without question have a destroying effect on the wildlife – or have the local Rooks, Buzzards, Green Woodpeckers, badgers, Great Crested Newts and bats simply got to relent to?

And what about the water shortage? With the frequent threats of hosepipe bans this year how will this area be helped with the proposed addition of 180 houses in the neighbourhood?

It seems much more logical to me if the required developments were to be built on the brownfield sites. These are sites that have previously been built on but are no longer in use. There are plenty of brownfield land in Warwick that could be built on instead of building on the Green Belt.

This seems a very logical solution because the brownfield sites are already in the town, which means that the infrastructure is implemented thus solving a major problem.

This would also mean that the countryside is not destroyed in any way which many people would be pleased about.

Also the brownfield sites within the towns are unattractive sites as often they are left abandoned. Redeveloping them means that old derelict areas can be turned into desirable places to live. Bringing new people to an area causes it to become a strong, inviting community which is also a far more convenient place for people to live as the local facilities and workplaces are much more accessible. With the increased amount of public transport available in these areas it becomes a far more convenient place to live than an area of the green belt that has been built on.

It would also give a new lease of life to an otherwise rather dull areas.

It is known that developers prefer to build on Green Belt land because it is easier, quicker and cheaper to build on that brownfield sites. Thus increasing their profits which many people claim is one rather important fact on the developer's minds, I believe that the above alternative to rejuvenate existing brownfield land should be given serious consideration.

I have also been appalled to learn that the public notices erected on lampposts in the local area, advising local residents of this development proposal, were visible for only a number of hours before being removed by either the landowner themselves or an associate.

I feel this was a contravention of what I understood public notices to stand for and demonstrated a very designing act. It is at question as to whether the landowner was hopeful that the end of the consultation period would reach an end without the existing residents even being granted an opportunity to object to the development.

Whether or not Warwick district's housing growth was unveiled through local papers these notices should not have been removed and feel that an explanation as to why this was allowed to happened should be offered to the local residents.