

## Preferred Options Response Form

2012

For Official Use Only

Ref: 1698

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

**If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.**

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: [www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan](http://www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan)

### Part A - Personal Details



	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	PETER	
Last Name	KERR	
Job Title (where relevant)	—	
Organisation (where relevant)	—	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Telephone number	[REDACTED]	
Email address	[REDACTED]	
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender	[REDACTED]	
Ethnic Origin	[REDACTED]	
Age	[REDACTED]	



## Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which document are you responding to?  
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET.

Which part of the document are you responding to?  
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO 1 / PO 3 / PO 4

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

—

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

P.D. S. - Whole District + Urban F.

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The figure of 555 new dwellings / annum seems to be what WDC think there should be that what the local people & market forces indicate we actually need. There are existing 'brownfield' sites waiting to be developed for housing but no market. (e.g. in Warwick, the old gas offices and the printing works). Houses proving difficult to sell, (eg the old Lutterton site). If the market for houses is there, then the 'brownfield' sites should be developed first, perhaps for affordable housing. Only then should 'greenfield' sites be released to developers.

Also, the proposals show an excessively high concentration of new housing in Warwick, (Locations 2 & 3), which have a potential for population imbalance and transport infrastructure problems.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.



# Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which document are you responding to?  
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

Which part of the document are you responding to?  
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support  Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

The need for 'Affordable Housing' is agreed, the problem is the implementation. Any builder constructing a development to make a profit will not want to build 'Affordable Housing'. So it is only viable for such developments to be L.A. for Housing Association funded. Also, WOC needs to examine how its own stock of such housing is utilised. A tricky problem and here 40% may prove far too ambitious.



## Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet  of

Which document are you responding to?  
e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

Booklet

Which part of the document are you responding to?  
Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

PO7

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

This provision is essential to prevent the unauthorised occupation of land and the resulting costs of eviction and clear up.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.



## Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet 4 of 4

Which document are you responding to?

e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

BOOKLET.

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

TRANSPORT.

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Page 17/18.

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

PIB of Booklet.

What is the nature of your representation?

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

### Comment

The need for a good transport infrastructure is supported but it is essential that this is resolved prior to any new homes expansion. These comments only relate to Warwick, (where I live), but are possibly repeated over the whole area.

#### Traffic congestion / Air Pollution - Friars St / Hampton St.

The construction of Chase Meadow has led, at busy periods, to congestion & slow moving traffic at this location, this with increased air pollution. This has yet to be addressed & now action seems to have been taken. This highlights the requirement for such things to be addressed, at, or before, new housing is built.

#### Congestion at Junction of Loventry Rd / Lemscote Rd. (St Johns)

Similar to above, Air Quality Management is essential, but the junction will need radical alteration or an alternative before any new development at sites (3) & (9). (see PO4)

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.