# RADFORD SEMELE PARISH COUNCIL

David Leigh-Hunt, LL.B., LL.M., MCIArb. Clerk to the Parish Council

Tel: 01926 427400 / 330844 Fax: 01926 335133 e-mail: info@davidleigh-hunt-solicitors.co.uk

My Ref: DLH/YLC/52

Your Ref:

24th July 2012

1608

CV32 5DT

**BEDFORD HOUSE** 

WARWICKSHIRE

76A BEDFORD STREET LEAMINGTON SPA

Development Policy Manager Development Services Warwick District Council Riverside House Milverton Hill Leamington Spa CV32 5QH

BY HAND

Dear Sir,

#### New Local Plan – Public Consultation on Preferred Options proposed by Warwick District Council

My Parish Council wish to make representations at all stages in connection with the Preferred Options.

As part of the consultation process I enclose my Parish Council's Response Statement. I should be obliged if you will acknowledge receipt and advise as to the stages of the consultation, in particular:-

- (i) what further consultation is envisaged with the interested parties who have filed Responses;
- (ii) when is it expected that WDC will come to a conclusion on the furtherance of the Preferred Options or otherwise;
- (iii) whether it is proposed that any District Councillors or District Officers will present WDC's concluded views to public meetings local to the sites chosen for the development.

Certainly on the last point my Council consider that the electorate are owed a public meeting to explain the final conclusion when it has been reached.

Yours faithfully





2012

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Preferred Options version of the new Local Plan.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available for members of the public. You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: **www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan** 

# Part A - Personal Details

			1. Personal Details	i	2. Agent's Details (if	applicable)	
Title			RAJFORD	SEMELE	MR		
First Name			PARISH	COUNCIL	DAVI D		
Last Name					LEIGH -	HUNT	
Job Title (where relevant)					CLERK TO		
Organisatio	on (where re	elevant)					
Address Line 1					BEJEWRD HUSE		
Address Line 2					76A BEJA	R) ST	
Address Line 3					CEAMING T	on spa	
Address Line 4					CV 32 -		
Postcode							
Telephone number					01926 - 427400		
Email addre	SS				in he double ig L.	have solicion . Lo un	
Would you	like to be n	nade aware of fut	ure consultations o	n the new Local Plar	n? VYes	No	
About You:	Gender		MA				
	Ethnic Origin		NFIA				
	Age	N/A.	Under 16	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	
			45 - 54	55 - 64	65+		



For Official Use Only Ref:

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

+ REPORT ATTACHED. 2 - ( Sheet Which document are you responding to? LOCAL PLAN - PREFERRED OPTIONS e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version) Which part of the document are you responding to? P.O. 4 Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1) CATEGORY 1 VILLAGES Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant) MAP ATTACHED Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District) Object Support What is the nature of your representation?

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

THE FOLUS OF THE ATTACHED REPORT PREPARED BY RADFORD SEMELE PARISH COUNCIL IS TO CHALLENGE THE LOCAL PLAN IN ITS ENTIRETY IN SO FAR AS IT AFFECTS THE PARISH OF RADFORD SEMELE THE PARISH COUNCIL AS THE LOWEST TIER OF LOCAL GNERNMENT HAS A DETAILED UNDERSTANDING OF THE AREA AND PEOPLE IT REPRESENTS THE ATTACHED REPORT PRESENTS LOCAL CONDITIONS AND OPINIONS. IT DEMONSTRATES HOW MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED DEVELOPEMENT WOULD MAKE IT MORE ACCEPTABLE. IF DEVELOPEMENT IN THE PARISH AREA IS AT ALL CONSIDERED NECESSARY THEN THE TENTATINE SITES SUGGESTED (BUT NOT PROPOSED) BY THE PARISH CONNEIL ARE INDICATED IN RED ON THE ATTACHED ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE PARISH CONNEIL'S HEWPOINT PLAN

WOULD BE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE VALUE OF "LOCALISM"

Rep. Ref.

# Part B - Commenting on the Preferred Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

LOCAL PLAN - PREFERRED OPTIONS

P.O. 1 P.O 2 P.O. 3 P.O.5 and P.O.6

IN SO FAR AS THEY IMPINGE ON P.04.

Object

MAP ATTACHED

Support

Sheet 2 of 2 + REPORT

Which document are you responding to? e.g. Preferred Options (Booklet) Preferred Options (Full Version)

Which part of the document are you responding to? Preferred Option Box (e.g. PO1)

Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)

Map (e.g. Preferred Development Sites - Whole District)

What is the nature of your representation?

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

PLEASE REFER TO OBSERVATIONS ON OPPOSITE PAGE AND TO ATTACHED REPORT ATTACHED PLAN.

Rep. Ref.

### Radford Semele Parish Council's response to Warwick District Council's plan for 100 houses in Radford Semele

### Section 1- Contact with CPRE

,

Radford Semele Parish Council has consulted, together with other parish councils, with CPRE (Council for the Protection of Rural England) as part of its process for formulating a response to the Warwick District Council's 'Local Plan-Preferred Options' to build 100 houses in the village of Radford Semele, along with four other villages.

Whilst CPRE intends to formulate a general response, some of its observations have direct relevance to the views and concerns being expressed by residents in Radford Semele.

CPRE stated that the future needs for housing are based on Government projections for growth at a national level which are then subject to a process of analysis and accepted formulae. This process ultimately arrives at an allocation for the District. The District allocation is then spread locally, resulting, in this particular case with 100 houses being allocated to just five villages. There is no consideration for local needs, its effect on the local community and landscapes, nor is there any substance to the reason for selecting these villages.

. Government projections of population growth are notoriously wrong, the nation is in recession, lack of funding and ability to afford mortgages is a long term problem. The Local Plan projects a rate of building never previously achieved, this together with strong valid objections from interested parties, leaves the conclusion that the Plan, as now envisaged, will be subject to major change, as the case is not made.

CPRE also drew attention to the impact that the planned level of growth will have on road access into and out of Learnington and Warwick which is already virtually at a standstill during the daily peak periods.

CPRE questions the need for the proposed level of housing growth, which in turn creates a demand for yet more employment opportunities. It drew attention to the fact that District Councils are incentivised for growth by Government. The expectations for higher future income streams are expected to finance future growth in infrastructure and facilities. This is not a certain outcome, nor is the Local Plan providing any evidence.

### Section 2 Impact of the Local Plan on Radford Semele

# Radford Semele Parish Council has considered the Local Plan under the following headings:-

- 1) Road Structure
- 2) Radford Semele Housing needs
- 3) Infrastructure in Radford Semele
- 4) Employment opportunities in Radford Semele
- 5) Overview of the impact of a 100 houses development.

#### 1) Road Structure

Other than Southam Road and Offchurch Lane, all roads leading from these roads, provide very restricted access into cul-de-sacs and are therefore unsuitable as a means of access to further housing development. Lewis Road is narrow and particularly congested. Lewis Road and School Lane, which is also narrow and experiences

additional school traffic, both provide difficult exits onto Southam Road. The exit from Offchurch Lane onto Southam Road is difficult at peak periods due to the quantity of traffic travelling into Learnington from the Long Itchington area. Other constraints on development are the high pressure gas main passing through the village, flooding due to inadequate road drainage systems on Southam Road/School Lane and flooding from surface land water previously experienced in The Valley.

The small housing development on Fosse Way, which has been proposed as a possible site for some further development, is inaccessible except by motor transport.

**Conclusion-** The addition of 100 Houses does not fit into the existing village road structure. A housing development on this scale would require a new entry point direct from the main Southam Road A425. Similarly a new access would be necessary on Fosse Way. Both of these new accesses would require additional traffic control on these very buy roads.

## 2) Radford Semele -Housing needs

The village has a wide range of houses covering all quartiles of the local housing price structure. It has a significant number of houses in the first quartile band so providing opportunities for those able to enter for the first time into ownership. It has a number of houses under the control of housing associations. Over years many people have moved, within the village, in order to meet their changed personal circumstances.

A 'Housing needs survey' carried out several years ago, identified a desire for one or possibly two additional affordable houses.

A significant number of residents are located close to the perimeter of the village and enjoy rural views. They treasure this aspect of their location and they also consider that it reflects in the value of their property. Any proposal for new building adjacent to their property touches their deepest concerns and anxieties.

Conclusion- There is no public evidence of a call in favour of 100 additional houses.

### 3) Infrastructure in Radford Semele

The village has two churches, community hall, playing field, village shop, post office, Church of England School first school and a limited range of bus services. All of these facilities would be available to a new housing development in proximity to the village.

There are no medical services, health clubs, leisure facilities etc. Parking facilities, adjacent to the shop and post office are minimal, due to lack of available space. This already limits the number of people who choose to stop at these shops.

The effect on the school, regarding its ability to take additional numbers within the existing facility, would require evaluation.

Conclusion – To what extent a new community would choose to integrate itself into the local community is uncertain. The additional traffic, where most of the facilities are located, would be unwelcome.

### 4) Employment opportunities in Radford Semele

There is one significant employer in Radford Semele engaged in high engineering design. Other employment opportunities within the village are few. It is likely that most of the two hundred additional cars arising from a 100 houses development

would follow the course of other residents and travel into Learnington/Warwick and more distant employment locations.

Conclusion- The additional cars would add further to the existing congestion within the village, the town and approach roads.

## 5) Overview of the impact of a 100 houses development.

The Local Plan-Preferred Options which projects a utopian society after the Plan is fully implemented, contains the following objectives:-

- a) To replace existing 'Areas of Restraint' with a network of 'Green Wedges' around our towns.
- b) Avoid growth which could lead to existing settlements merging.
- c) 40% of homes to meet the need for affordable housing.
- d) A proportion of the homes to meet the needs for those with disabilities.
- e) To meet the needs of older people, including extra care housing.
- f) To reduce congestion and pollution.
- g) To provide more inclusive and affordable transport options.

The overview of Radford Semele, held by the Council and supported by many residents, is that the village is unique in that it is in close proximity to the town but enjoys the privilege of separation. The separation allows it to exist within, and have extensive views over, some of the most attractive countryside in south Warwickshire. Although the countryside is not designated as being within the Green Belt, its appearance is superior to many areas with that designation and it must be protected.

The village has continued to maintain an internal identity which has facilitated friendships for all age groups. Associations including children's activities, adult groups and senior citizens luncheon clubs etc. reflect those long term relationships. A 100 houses addition, would represent a 12% increase in houses and population and is likely to tip the village further towards a dormitory suburb of the town.

The Parish Council supports the first two objectives listed above from the Local Plan, i.e.' Replacing areas of restraint with 'Green Wedges' and 'Avoiding growth which could lead to existing settlements merging' (a. & b. above)

The Parish Council questions whether 40% affordable housing is an appropriate proportion of any future development, given the level of existing similar properties within the village. (c. above)

The Parish Council considers that the boundary areas to the village would not be particularly conducive to the requirements of those with disabilities and older people requiring extra care. (d. & e. above)

The Parish Council approves of the objectives to reduce congestion and pollution but cannot reconcile it with the fact that virtually every occupant would work somewhere other than in Radford Semele and require a car to reach their employment and virtually every other activity. (f. above)

The Parish Council notes the Plan's reference to affordable transport, but would comment that local public transport from the village is at best, a half hourly service into Learnington. (g. above)

## Section 3- Parish Council conclusions

. •

I) The Parish Council recognises that a decision may be made by Warwick District Council to construct houses in Radford Semele as part of the Local Plan.

2) The Parish Council recognises the need to observe the new 'Green Wedges' and to 'Avoid growth which could lead to existing settlements merging' It is judged that a development on the East Side of the village would therefore facilitate these objectives and in the generality, continued separation from Learnington would be supported by residents.

3) The Parish Council requests that Warwick District Council reduces the proposed number and the composition of houses, bringing the number down to a level more compatible with people's expectations for organic growth.

4) Any development will impact on residents in adjoining locations and it is certain that that there will be considerable objection once any particular site is specified. As it is not the Parish Council that will make the final decision, it is incumbent on Warwick District Council to present their concluding view on a public platform to the residents of the village.

Prepared and approved by the Parish Council. (23/07/12)

