LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



210

Revised Development Strategy Response Form 2013

For Official Use	Only
Ref:	

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Local Plan - Revised Development Strategy.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2.	Agent's Details (if a	applicable)
Title	C/O AGENT		HR	
First Name			MICHAEL	
Last Name			ROBSON	
Job Title (where relevant)			DIRECTOR	
Organisation (where relevant)	GREVAYNE PROPE	eties (CERDA PLAN	uning LTD
Address Line 1		/	322, 3 RD f	LOOR
Address Line 2			FORT DUNL	oP
Address Line 3			FORT PARK	WAY
Address Line 4			BIRMINGH	·M
Postcode			324 9FD	
Telephone number			0121-748-1	62D
Email address		IV,I	chael robsone	cerda-planni
Would you like to be made aware of	future consultations on the ne	ew Local Plan?	✓ Yes	No
About You: Gender				
Ethnic Origin				
Age	Under 16	6 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44
	45 - 54 5	5 - 64	65+	

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?

WEBSITE

COUNCILS

if you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you wi representation	il need to complete a separate sneet for each
Sheet 1 of 5	
Which part of the document are you responding to?	
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	Policy RDS1
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)	
What is the nature of your representation?	Support X Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of sup could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if	
Policy RDS1 is concerned with delivering an appropriate le presently noted as an interim figure, at 12,300 homes. It is well could and should change as result of more up to date evidence	comed that the Council recognise that this figure
It is however important that the Council recognise the important provisions of the Framework, in particular the need to meet the full objectively assessed housing needs, and the need to boost significantly the supply of housing.	
Paragraph 4.1.10 of the Plan makes reference to the historical local growth rate (GVA) across the District, and has assumed a reduced growth rate across the District in the emerging Plan period for the purposes of determining a housing need figure.	
This approach fails the Framework both in terms of the need to boost significantly the supply of housing, and secondly the need to meet the full objectively assessed housing need.	
In terms of evidence base influencing the overall housing figure, it is noted that the Council are having regard to the most up to date ONS household figures. Whilst these figures are up to date and should form part of the assessment on housing need, it is important to treat these figures with some caution given that they have been prepared at a point in the economic cycle where the country was experiencing a deep double dip recession. The Plan period will see sustained, buoyant economic growth where household formation is likely to be higher than has been the case over recent years. Reliance upon the current ONS household figures would therefore significantly underplay the need for housing over the entire Plan period.	
The Council are therefore invited to re-assess housing figures increasing the requirements to reflect the historical local growth rate; apply the ONS figures with some caution; and recognise the important requirement to meet the full objectively assessed housing need.	
For Official Use Only	

Rep. Ref.

Ref:

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you wrepresentation	ill need to complete a separate sheet for each
Sheet 2 of 5	
Which part of the document are you responding to?	
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	Policy RDS3
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)	
What is the nature of your representation?	Support X Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of su could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if	
The Councils general approach to distributing development provides a framework for ensuring development meets the Framework.	
The desire to protect the Green Belt from development where available is noted however Green Belt issues should be weigh for example supporting sustainable growth. Elsewhere, in ressmaller villages and hamlets Green Belt release should be corder to deliver housing to meet needs in the location where and viability of such settlements.	ned in the balance with other planning objectives, spect of the larger more sustainable villages and considered a necessary requirement of the Plan in
The objective of distributing growth across the District includir also supported since a greater number of smaller sites will prodeal with rapid change should it occur though the Plan perilocation in which it is generated; and will also allow for the be	vide the Plan with inherent flexibility, more able to iod; it will enable housing needs to be met in the
The policy does not explicitly set out the levels of growth for the villages and hamlets; in both cases appropriate levels of growth be reasonably significant (without undermining the usignificant number of larger villages and smaller villages and Council must thus ensure that housing is distributed to larger that they deliver housing in their own right as opposed to the opportunities for development at the larger urban centres are	rowth should be provided and housing numbers urban first approach to development), given the hamlet across what is largely a rural District. The villages and smaller villages and hamlets, in order ese locations being seen as a 'sweeper' once all
Concern is expressed in relation to the proposed site for large proposed allocation comprises a significant tract of land fur performs a Green Wedge and assists in avoiding coalest adequately demonstrated that all non-Green Belt sites have encouraged to increase housing requirements at the larger virial contents and the larger virial contents.	olly within the Green Belt which, to some extent, bence between settlements. The Plan has not be been exhausted, the Council for example are

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you wi representation	ll need to complete a separate sheet for each
Sheet 3 of 5	
Which part of the document are you responding to?	
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	Policy RDS4
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)	
What is the nature of your representation?	Support X Object
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of sur could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if	
As set out in representations in respect of Policy RDS3, there strategy for the broad location of development. Policy RD proposed allocated housing (excluding village developments)	OS4 makes clear that approximately 17% of the
The Plan is clear that Green Belt should be regarded as a la Belt alternative sites have been exhausted.	st resort, in circumstances where all none Green
In terms of general commentary on housing quantum and dis are considered to be unreasonably low. This amounts to only Warwick is a largely rural District, with a significant number of the quantum of housing to be delivered to these locations in these villages, and meet housing need in the location in which calculation dividing the number of houses by the number of demonstrates how little housing per settlement per annum is sufficient and should be increased.	15.1% of the total housing provision. Mindful that f larger villages and smaller villages and hamlets, order to underpin the sustainability and viability of h it arises, is an important consideration. A simple villages by the number of years in the Plan period
Locations such as BaddesleyClinton should therefore see increand the need to underpin their sustainability and viability.	eased housing given their sustainability credentials

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Sheet 4 of 5		
Which part of the document are you responding to?		
Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant)	Policy RDS5	
Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide)		
What is the nature of your representation?	Support X Object	
Please set out full details of your objection or representation of could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet	HONON (프로그리아) - 1000 에 (HONON HONON HONON) (프로그리아) - 1000 에 (HONON HONON HONON HONON HONON HONON HONON HONON	8
As set out in representations to Policy RDS4, it is considere larger villages and smaller villages and hamlets.	ed that insufficient housing is being directed to	the
Indeed, Policy RDS4 identifies 1,000 houses to villages; this houses to primary service villages and 400 houses to secon for any housing to the smaller villages and hamlets, a fail appropriate scale in these locations to underpin their sustanced in the location in which it is derived. Representations elsewhere have indicated that the overall of the full objectively assessed housing need is met by the Plan; a directed to village locations. This should include increased housing provision at smaller villagrowth proposed.	ndary service villages. This therefore does not alling of the Plan given the need for housing of trainability and viability, whilst also meeting housing figure should be increased in order that and that a greater proportion of housing should	llow f an sing the

For Official Use Only	
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation Sheet Which part of the document are you responding to? Paragraph 5.4 Paragraph number / Heading / Subheading (if relevant) Map (e.g. Proposed Development Sites - District Wide) Support What is the nature of your representation? Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary). Objections are lodged in respect of the proposal to allocate 700 houses on 46.5 hectares of Green Belt at Thickthorn, Kenilworth. The site represents a large tract of land, of significance given it performs all of the Green Belt functions set out within the Framework. It operates as a Green Wedge and serves to avoid coalescence with Learnington. It is highly visible and is regarded as sensitive in landscape, visual and openness terms (openness being the primary purpose of designating Green Belt). In circumstances where alternative options are available to meet the housing requirements of the Plan the emerging allocation cannot be regarded as being sound.

Rep. Ref.

For Official Use Only

Ref: