LOCALPLAN helpingshapethedistrict



Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only

Ref:

9769.

Rep. Ref.

Please use this form if you wish to comment on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options.

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate copy of Part B of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the consultation documents have been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MAS	
First Name	DENISE	
Last Name	GREENWAY	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Address Line 4		
Postcode		
Telephone number		
Email address		
Would you like to be made aware of fu		
About You: Gender		
Ethnic Origin		
Age		

Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, bin hanger?

word of Mouth

Part B - Commenting on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

If you are commenting on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

	in the Draft Local Plan will list the criteria by which Gypsy and Traveller sites will be judged for suitability an
sustainabili	ity. These are the criteria:
-	Convenient access to a GP surgery, school and public transport;
documents	Avoiding areas with a high risk of flooding;
	Safe access to the road network and provision for parking, turning and servicing on site;
	Avoiding areas where there is the potential for noise and other disturbance;
-	Provision of utilities (running water, toilet facilities, waste disposal, etc);
-	Avoiding areas where there could be adverse impact on important features of the natural and historic environment; and,
_	Sites which can be integrated into the landscape without harming the character of the area.
-	Promotes peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community;
-	Avoids placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
_	Reflects the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability.
Which site	e your views about site suitability below with reference to this list of criteria. e are you responding to? G-TIG WAND WEST OF AUS 9 BARFORD
What is th	ne nature of your representation? Support Object Comment
Please set	t out full details of your objection or representation of support with reference to the criteria above.
development of the control of the co	ess from Westham Lane onto the bypass is dangerous with busy, fast, moving traffic (60mph). Pedestrians would have to his road in order to gain access to the village. There is no crossing which would defeat the object of a bypass and would still gerous. ok us 2 years to gain planning permission to move internal walls only, because we are outside the envelope of the village for pment. This is WDC double standards at their best, as now looking to put permanent G and T sites on the field right next to us. ham House which contains apartments for sale and rent will undoubtedly cause noise and disturbance and would create an re" on the unspoilt landscape. Our business would be decimated. We employ local tradesmen and staff which we would no need. We are feeling the effects already as we have lost a sale since the proposed sites were announced due to the threat of being neighbours and the uncertainty that that holds for purchasers. Stham Lane is an un-adopted single track private lane. There are no public rights of way it would need to be widened & a CPO be required. Ownership is unknown. There would be loss of hedgerows, landscape and bad visual impacts. The agricultural grade 2 and should not be considered for development the Farmer does not want to sell so a CPO would again be required, voles (a protected species) are present and during the construction of the by-pass Bronze Age burial sites were discovered as an historical site are protected and excluded from any development. C wants to promote a peaceful integrated existence between the site and local community, when just this week, as an example have taken over a field nearby and the police have given a warning to locals to take appropriate security precautions. This to bode well for the neighbourly ness issue. The area is of medium flood risk .There is no GP in the eAs the landscape is flat unspoilt the visual impact would be hard to mitigate. C have disregarded their own RAP new housing, new employment, safeguarding rural roads and camping and caravan site
roi	g in G and T sites would be a contradiction to their policies.
Ref:	Rep. Ref.

If you are commenting	on multiple sites you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation
Sheet of _	the last a tended target less per en primaces amulymoso not elico a or encodownem uo'r
Do you have any other suggestions for land within this district that you think would be suitable for use as a Gypsy an Traveller site, bearing in mind the criteria for site identification? If so, please give the location and the land owner's details below:	
1. Woc She Wannick Meet the Ghausing Learning the cool doctors 3. Why the tip, within in his where	and use existing brown field sites within shie area for GT T sites. These sites wanted cintered and be close to ameneties required. T sites cauld be integrated into the new of developments in Kenilvoorth, Warrington and agreements, schools, shops, and etc they require. not look at wax a weed land along Fosse way, which was a former cannot now closed, as a GTT site. It is easy reach of boarnington spa, bearing ind GTT all have motor we hicles, and all ameneties one awailable.