

Village Housing Options Response Form 2013

For Official Use Only
Ref:
Rep. Ref:

Please use this form if you wish to support or object to the Village Housing Options and Settlement Boundaries.

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate copy of either Part B and/or Part C of this form for each representation.

This form may be photocopied or, alternatively, extra forms can be obtained from the Council's offices or places where the plan has been made available (see back page). You can also respond online using the LDF Consultation System, visit: www.warwickdc.gov.uk/newlocalplan

Part A - Personal Details

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title		Mr
First Name		Will
Last Name		Charlton
Job Title (where relevant)		Director
Address Line 1	Business Flats Ltd	Brooke Smith Planning
Address Line 2	Milestone House	The Cloisters
Address Line 3	Shallowfield Court	12 George Road
Address Line 4	Henley-in-Arden	Edgbaston, Birmingham
Postcode	B95 5FY	B15 1NP
Telephone number		0121 693 8900
Email address		wjc@brookesmithplanning.com
Would you like to be made aware of future consultations on the new Local Plan?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
About You: Gender	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	
Ethnic Origin	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	
Age	<input type="checkbox"/> Under 16	<input type="checkbox"/> 16 - 24
	<input type="checkbox"/> 45 - 54	<input type="checkbox"/> 55 - 64
	<input type="checkbox"/> 25 - 34	<input type="checkbox"/> 35 - 44
	<input type="checkbox"/> 65+	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Where did you hear about this consultation e.g. radio, newspaper, word of mouth, exhibitions, parish council?	<input type="text"/>	
Website	<input type="text"/>	

Part B - Commenting on the Village Housing Options

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which part of the document are you responding to?

Page Chapter Paragraph
 Table or Figure 10 Village Plan

What is the nature of your representation? Support Object

Please set out full details of your representation of support or objection. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (Use a separate sheet if necessary).

10 – KINGSWOOD

The allocation of the village of Kingswood as a Main Growth Village is supported. The village contains a good range and number of local services including a doctor's surgery, school and other facilities including a general store and Post Office. In addition, the village contains a main line railway station which provides direct train access to the surrounding area and the wider region. As such, the village is considered to be a relatively sustainable location.

Whilst the number of residential units now being identified is considered to be light, with the village and its facilities able to support a larger number, the level is not formally objected to.

The village has developed incrementally over a number of years and contains a range of properties in both size, style and age. Given the nature of how the village has historically developed, the form of the village at present and the availability of appropriate land parcels the dispersed strategy to growth is contended to be the most appropriate way forward and will retain the current character of the village. This strategy is strongly supported.

The number of units proposed for each of the sites identified is noted and is supported. It is also acknowledged that all the sites will require a focus upon quality design, site screening and the provision of a suitable mix of housing. However, given the small size of some of the site identified (Site No's 3, 5, 6 & 7), including our clients (Site No 6) the viability of the sites to provide the required level of affordable housing and the commerciality of the sites must be practically considered by the Council.

With regards to Site No 6 specifically, it is acknowledged that Kingswood Brook and flooding has been raised as a possible issue. However, it is contended that the potential for flooding can be appropriately considered and addressed, with any scheme for development proposed in the future addressing the potential issue of flooding / confirming any proposed development would not increase potential risk.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.

Part C - Commenting on the Indicative Settlement Boundaries

If you are commenting on multiple sections of the document, you will need to complete a separate sheet for each representation

Sheet of

Which settlement are you responding to?

10 - Kingswood

What is the nature of your representation?

Y

Support

Object

Please set out full details of your objection or representation of support. If objecting, please set out what changes could be made to resolve your objection (use a separate sheet if necessary).

10 – KINGSWOOD

As stated in Part B, the village has developed incrementally over a number of years and contains a range of properties in both size, style and age. The village is very elongated, primarily following the lines of Station Lane (North / South) and the Old Warwick Road - B4439 (West – East).

Whilst proposing to include areas of Green Belt within the village boundary, the identified sites (No's 1 – 7) are considered to have a minimal impact upon the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including land within it.

Given the nature of how the village has historically developed, the form of the village at present, the availability of appropriate land parcels and the dispersed strategy to growth, the proposed indicative changes to the Settlement Boundary are considered appropriate and defensible and as such are strongly supported.

For Official Use Only

Ref:

Rep. Ref.